arXiv:math/0612748v2 [math.GR] 20 Jan 2007

HOMOLOGY OF SUBGROUPS OF RIGHT-ANGLED ARTIN GROUPS

GRAHAM DENHAM

Abstract. We describe the (co)homology of a certain family of normal subgroups of right-angled Artin groups that contain the commutator subgroup, as modules over the quotient group. We do so in terms of (skew) commutative algebra of squarefree monomial ideals.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a simple graph on n vertices $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. The right-angled Artin group (or graph group) G_Γ is the group with generators V and relations $v_iv_j = v_jv_i$ for each edge v_iv_j in Γ. Charney and Davis [5] showed that such groups admit a finite classifying space, a subcomplex of the n-torus first introduced in [11]. It follows that the cohomology ring of G_Γ has an elegant description as an exterior Stanley-Reisner ring.

Bestvina and Brady [2] make use of a particular subgroup of G_Γ with infinite cyclic quotient as examples to distinguish finiteness properties: the kernel of the map to $\mathbb{Z}$ sending each v_i to the generator 1 is finitely generated if and only if Γ is connected and finitely presented if and only if Γ is simply connected. Moreover, they show that this subgroup is FP_k if and only if the flag complex K_Γ of Γ is homologically k-connected. The cohomology ring of this subgroup is computed in [12, 17] when it is finite-dimensional, by relating it to the simplicial topology of the flag complex K_Γ.

More generally, for an integer m let $\rho\colon G_\Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}^m$ be a surjective group homomorphism with the property that $\rho(v_i)$, for each i, is a generator of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^m$. We shall call such a map ρ a *coordinate homomorphism*, and denote its kernel by $N_\rho = N_{\Gamma,\rho}$, the *coordinate subgroup*. From Meier, Meinert and VanWyk's calculation of the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariants of right-angled Artin groups [13], it follows that the homology groups of N_ρ are not finitely generated except under very restrictive hypotheses.

The point of view of this paper is that, nevertheless, $H_*(N_\rho, \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely-generated module over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$, and so is amenable to description in terms of the graph Γ via combinatorial commutative algebra. Accordingly, we compute this module in terms of the exterior Stanley-Reisner ring of the clique complex of the graph Γ. In such terms, for example, one can determine the Krull dimension of each module $H_p(N_\rho, \mathbb{Z})$.

Under the additional hypothesis that the complex K_Γ is Cohen-Macaulay, these results can be made more explicit via Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand duality (Section 5). In particular, we find that $H^q(G_\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[G_\Gamma^{ab}])$ is zero except for $q = d + 1$ if and only if K

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F36, Secondary 13F55, 20J05.
Partially supported by a grant from NSERC of Canada.

is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d. This is an abelian version of a result of Brady and Meier [3]; in this case, we are also able to describe the dualizing module explicitly.

2. Classifying spaces

The construction used here is a generalization of constructions that appear independently in the work of various authors. In the context of right-angled Artin groups, the idea originates with Charney and Davis [5]. The language of partial product complexes is convenient, however; details and further references may be found in [6]. (These are also known as generalized moment-angled complexes; see [22, 4].)

2.1. **Partial product complexes.**

Definition 2.1. Let X be a space, and $A \subset X$ a non-empty subspace. Given a simplicial complex K on vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, define $\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$ to be the following subspace of the cartesian product $X^{\times n}$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (X, A)^\sigma, \tag{1}$$

where $(X, A)^\sigma = \{x \in X^{\times n} \mid x_i \in A \text{ if } i \notin \sigma\}$.

If X is a pointed space, let $\mathcal{Z}_K(X) = \mathcal{Z}_K(X, *)$. For example, $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$ is a subcomplex of the n-torus $(S^1)^{\times n}$.

2.2. **Right-angled Artin groups.**

If Γ is a graph with vertices $V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edges $E(\Gamma)$, recall the *right-angled Artin group* G_Γ is defined by the presentation

$$G_\Gamma = \langle v_1, \ldots, v_n \mid v_i v_j = v_j v_i \text{ for each } v_i v_j \in E(\Gamma) \rangle \tag{2}$$

Also recall that if Γ is a graph, its *clique complex* K_Γ is the simplicial complex with vertices $V(\Gamma)$ and simplices σ, for all $\sigma \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ with the property that each pair of vertices of σ are connected by an edge. If a simplical complex K is the clique complex of its 1-skeleton, K is called a flag complex. Then the construction of Definition 2.1 recovers the construction of [5]:

Proposition 2.2 ([5])**.** *Let K be a simplicial complex and let $\Gamma = K^{(1)}$, its 1-skeleton. Then $G_\Gamma \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), *)$. If, further, K is a flag complex, then $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$ is an Eilenberg-Maclane space for G_Γ.*

For example, $\pi_1(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1))$ is abelian if and only if the 1-skeleton of K is a complete graph. The clique complex of a complete graph is simply a full simplex $K = \Delta^{n-1}$ on n vertices, in which case $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$ is the n-torus $(S^1)^{\times n}$.

Since the main tool used here is the space $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$ (rather than the group G_Γ), it will be natural to consider the homology of spaces, rather than groups; as the Proposition indicates, we will recover the case of groups by specializing to those K which are flag complexes. We shall correspondingly regard simplicial complexes as our primary objects, rather than graphs.

2.3. **Coordinate homomorphisms.** Here we identify the coordinate subgroups, defined in the Introduction, in terms of our topological construction.

Such subgroups are a special case of a more general construction. If $f\colon K \to L$ is a map of simplicial complexes sending vertices $[n]$ to vertices $[m]$, there is a natural map $\mathcal{Z}_f : \mathcal{Z}_K(S^1) \to \mathcal{Z}_L(S^1)$ obtained by restricting a map $\overline{f}\colon (S^1)^{\times n} \to (S^1)^{\times m}$. Here, $\overline{f}(x)_j = \prod_{i\colon f(i)=j} x_i$; see [6, Lemma 2.2.2] for details.

Using Proposition 2.2, it is routine to translate this to a statement about right-angled Artin groups.

Proposition 2.3. *If $f\colon K \to L$ is a map of simplicial complexes, the induced map of fundamental groups $\mathcal{Z}_f^\sharp\colon G_{K^{(1)}} \to G_{L^{(1)}}$ sends the ith generator of $G_{K^{(1)}}$ to the $f(i)$th generator in $G_{L^{(1)}}$.*

In particular, if $\Gamma = K^{(1)}$ has n vertices, then the abelianization of a right-angled Artin group G_Γ is clearly $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and the abelianization map $G_\Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ is obtained by choosing $L = \Delta^{n-1}$, the full simplex on n vertices. More generally, we consider the following case:

Definition 2.4. Let K be a simplicial complex on n vertices, and let $f\colon [n] \to [m]$ be a surjective function on sets. Then f extends uniquely to a map of simplicial complexes $f\colon K \to \Delta^{m-1}$. We will call f a coordinate map.

It follows from Proposition 2.3 that a coordinate map $f\colon K \to [m]$ induces a coordinate homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_f^\sharp$, and this homomorphism factors through the abelianization of $G_{K^{(1)}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_f^\sharp\colon G_{K^{(1)}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow_{\overline{f}^\sharp} & \\ & \mathbb{Z}^n & \end{array}$$

Conversely, by comparing definitions one finds that all coordinate homomorphisms arise in this way.

Example 1. At the one extreme, we may take f to be the identity map. Then the homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_f^\sharp\colon G_{K^{(1)}} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the abelianization, and its kernel N_f is the commutator subgroup of the right-angled Artin group.

Example 2. On the other hand, the (unique) map $f\colon [n] \to [1]$ induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_f^\sharp\colon G_{K^{(1)}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ sending each v_i to 1. The kernel is the Bestvina-Brady group H_Γ considered in [2, 13, 14, 12, 17].

2.4. **Abelian covers.** Let $\pi : \mathbb{R} \to S^1$ be the universal cover of S^1, sending $\mathbb{Z}$ to the basepoint $*$. By [6, Lemma 2.9], the map of pairs $\pi : (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \to (S^1, *)$ induces a fibration

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_\pi} \mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \tag{3}$$

which is in fact the universal cover of $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$.

By covering space theory, if $f : K \to [m]$ is a coordinate homomorphism, then N_f is the fundamental group of the fibred coproduct $\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{Z}^m$, where $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on $\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z})$ by deck transformations, and on $\mathbb{Z}^m$ by the induced map $\overline{f}^\sharp$.

2.5. **CW-complexes.** Combinatorially explicit cell structures are available for each complex. First, the standard cell structure on the torus $(S^1)^{\times n}$ restricts to give a cell structure for $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$ (see [11]). From the definition (1), the cells are naturally labelled by the simplices of K. For each simplex $I \in K$ with k vertices, let ε_I denote the corresponding k-cell. Note that the complex is minimal in the sense that the attaching maps are zero.

We need the following notation. Let $E = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \dots, e_n]$ denote the exterior algebra, which we regard as a graded-commutative Hopf algebra with generators in degree 1. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual basis to the generators, so that $E^* = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n]$ is also an exterior algebra.

Now let $\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle = E/J_K$, the exterior Stanley-Reisner ring of K, where J_K is the ideal generated by monomials indexed by nonfaces of K. Then $\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle^*$ is a sub-coalgebra of E^*, spanned by monomials ε_I, where we set $\varepsilon_I := \varepsilon_{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_k}$ if $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ is a simplex of K. We identify $\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle^*$ with the cellular chain complex of $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1)$ (with zero differential). The reason for this notation is the following foundational result.

Theorem 1 ([11])**.** *Let K be a simplicial complex. Then $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle$ as graded rings.*

Second, we require similar cell structure for the complex $\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z})$, It turns out that, in the flag-complex setting, the construction below is really the (abelianized) Salvetti complex for the right-angled Artin group, as explained and generalized by Charney and Davis [5].

To start, label the zero cells of $\mathbb{R}$ by $\{x^i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and the 1-cells by $\{\varepsilon \cdot x^i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ so that $\partial(\varepsilon \cdot x^i) = x^{i+1} - x^i$ for each i. Extending this to the product structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and restricting to the subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z})$ gives a complex with k-cells labelled in a natural way by

$$\{\varepsilon_I \cdot x^\alpha \colon I \in K, |I| = k, \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\},$$

where we regard x^α equivalently as an element of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ (written multiplicatively) or a Laurent monomial $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$. It is straightforward to check that the differential is given by

$$\partial(\varepsilon_I x^\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{\sigma(I,i)} \varepsilon_{I-\{i\}} \cdot x^{\alpha+\chi_i} - \varepsilon_{I-\{i\}} \cdot x^\alpha, \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma(I, i)$ is the number of elements preceding i in I (in the standard order) and χ_i is the ith coordinate vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Make the identification

$$C_*^{\text{cell}}(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]; \tag{5}$$

then some useful properties follow immediately from the construction. (Recall v_i denotes the ith standard generator of $\pi_1(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1))$ from (2).)

Proposition 2.5. *For any K,*

1. *The universal cover $\mathcal{Z}_\pi$ of (3) cellular and satisfies $\mathcal{Z}_\pi(\varepsilon_I x^\alpha) = \varepsilon_I$ for all choices of I and α.*
2. *The cell structure (5) is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-equivariant, and v_i acts by multiplication by x_i for $1 \le i \le n$;*

(3) *The differential* (4) *is induced by* $\partial(\varepsilon_i) = x_i - 1$ *for each* $1 \le i \le n$*, together with* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$*-linearity and the Leibniz rule on* $\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle^*$*;*

3. (Co)homology of abelian covers

In this section, we describe the homology of the coordinate subgroups in terms of commutative algebra. If f is a coordinate map (Def. 2.4), then $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ is a $\pi_1(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1))$-module via the homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_f^\sharp$. Then

$$H_*(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1) \times_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{Z}^m, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]);$$

in the case where K is a flag complex and $\Gamma = K^{(1)}$, this is simply Shapiro's Lemma:

$$H_*(N_f, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(G_\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]).$$

3.1. **Linearization.** Now fix a coordinate map $f\colon [n] \to [m]$. Let $S = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, a (commutative) polynomial ring, and let $R = \mathbb{Z}[s_1, \dots, s_m]$. We regard R as a module over S via the ring homomorphism $\widetilde{f}\colon S \to R$ given by letting $\widetilde{f}(t_i) = s_{f(i)}$, for each i. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the maximal ideal of S generated by $\{t_1 + 1, t_2 + 1, \dots, t_n + 1\}$. We will abuse notation and also write $\mathbf{1}$ for its image $\widetilde{f}(\mathbf{1})$ in R. Then localize to invert the elements of $\mathbf{1}$, so that $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n] \cong S_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m] \cong R_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Proposition 3.1. *For any* K*, we have isomorphisms of complexes of* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$*-modules:*

$$C_p^{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong (\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle_p^* \otimes S, \partial)_{\mathbf{1}}, \tag{6}$$

$$C_p^{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{Z}^m) \cong (\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle_p^* \otimes R, \overline{\partial})_{\mathbf{1}}, \text{ and} \tag{7}$$

$$C_c^p(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{Z}^m) \cong (\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle_p \otimes R, \delta)_{\mathbf{1}}, \tag{8}$$

for all $p \ge 0$*, where the complex* (8) *is the cellular cochain complex with compact support. The differential* ∂ *is induced by* $\partial(\varepsilon_i) = t_i$*, for all* i*, and* $\overline{\partial}$ *by* $\overline{\partial}(\varepsilon_i) = s_{f(i)}$*. The differential* δ *acts by left multiplication by the element* $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes s_{f(i)}$*.*

Proof. The isomorphism (6) is obtained by localizing (5). To establish (8), we identify $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ with the submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n], \mathbb{Z})$ with finite supports. However, now v_i acts (contragrediently) by multiplication by x_i^{-1}, for each i. Putting this together with the dual of Proposition 2.5(3) gives the required isomorphism. In fact, the right-hand side of (8) is a complex of $E \otimes S_{\mathbf{1}}$-modules, since δ commutes with multiplication by elements of E. □

Since localization is exact, it is equivalent (but more convenient) to regard the cellular (co)chain complexes above over the polynomial ring S, and we shall do so in the rest of the paper.

Remark 1. Our restriction to coordinate subgroups in place of arbitrary subgroups with abelian quotient is needed for the isomorphisms of the Proposition above. More generally, the cellular (co)chain complexes are filtered (rather than graded) by powers of the augmentation ideal, and the isomorphisms (6)–(8) are merely isomorphisms of associated graded modules. As Stefan Papadima observes [16], the spectral sequence of the filtration fails to converge strongly even for very simple examples of non-coordinate homomorphisms.

3.2. **Commutative algebra.** Given the setup above, it is natural to interpret group (co)homology in terms of skew-commutative algebra. We follow the grading conventions of [8]; in particular, for a graded module M, let $M(r)_q = M_{r+q}$ for all q. For modules M and N, the notation $\operatorname{Ext}^{pq}(M,N)$ refers to cohomological degree p and polynomial degree q. Here, typically $q \leq -p$, so we write $\operatorname{Ext}^p(M,N)_r = \operatorname{Ext}^{pq}(M,N)$, where $r = -p-q$.

Theorem 2. *For any simplicial complex K and for all $q \geq 0$,*

$$H_q(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle,\mathbb{Z})_q$$

as S-modules. Moreover, for all $p \geq 0$,

$$\operatorname{Gr}_p H_*(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^p_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbf{1}} \tag{9}$$

where Gr. *denotes the grading associated to the filtration by powers of the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$.*

Before beginning the proof, we note that the (left) S-module structure on $\operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle,\mathbb{Z})$ here comes the identification $S \cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})$ and its natural action: see [20].

Proof. We compute $\operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle,\mathbb{Z})$ by the standard injective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots$$

Recall that E is self-injective. Then $\mathbb{Z}$ maps to the generator of E_n, and $I^q = E(n+q)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S^q$, with differential induced $E\otimes S$-linearly by multiplication by $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes t_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle,\mathbb{Z}) &= H\operatorname{Hom}_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle, I^{\cdot}) \\ &\cong H\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle,\mathbb{Z})\otimes S^{\cdot}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-,\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Hom}_E(-,E)(n)$.

Now we may compare this with Proposition 3.1(6) to obtain the cellular homology of $\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z})$. □

Now fix a simplicial complex K with n vertices, and a coordinate map $f\colon [n] \to [m]$. Let $A = A_f$ denote the kernel of the homomorphism $\widetilde{f}\colon S \to R$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_f$ denote the ideal of E generated in degree 1 by functionals that vanish on A_1. Let $\operatorname{ann}\mathfrak{a}$ denote its annihilator in E. These ideals have the following properties.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $f\colon [n] \to [m]$,*

1. *the ideal A is generated in degree 1, and A_1 is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $n-m$.*
2. *the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_f$ is generated by m elements, for $1 \leq j \leq m$:*

$$h_j := \sum_{i\colon f(i)=j} e_i,$$

3. *the ideal $\operatorname{ann}\mathfrak{a}_f$ is principal, generated by $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m$.*

Proof. The first two assertions come from the definitions. The third follows from the fact that $\{h_1,\ldots,h_m\}$ are linearly independent in E_1. □

In view of Lemma 3.2(3), let $a_f = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m$, the generator of $\operatorname{ann} \mathfrak{a}_f$. To avoid complications, in what follows we will work over a coefficient field $\mathbf{k}$. In order to state the next result, recall the following definition. The (combinatorial) Alexander dual of a simplicial complex K on $[n]$ is a complex $K^\star$ on $[n]$. By definition,

$$K^\star = \{\sigma \subseteq [n] \colon [n] - \sigma \notin K\}.$$

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field, K a simplicial complex, and $f\colon [n] \to [m]$ a coordinate map. Then, for all $q \geq 0$,*

$$H_q(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, (a_f))_{q-n} \text{ and} \tag{10}$$

$$H^q_c(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(J_{K^\star}, (a_f))_{q-n}, \tag{11}$$

where $J_{K^\star}$ is the exterior monomial ideal associated with $K^\star$ (see §2.5), and (a_f) is the principal ideal defined above.

Proof. Since A_S is generated in degree 1 and a $\mathbf{k}$-basis for A_S^1 is a regular sequence in S, its Koszul complex is a linear, free resolution of R over S. That is, R is a Koszul module over S, so as left E-modules, $E/\mathfrak{a}_f \cong \operatorname{Ext}_S(R, \mathbf{k})$. Koszul duality is an involution, so $R \cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(E/\mathfrak{a}_f, \mathbf{k})$. This is to say that $E/\mathfrak{a}_f$ has a linear, free resolution

$$0 \longleftarrow E/\mathfrak{a}_f \longleftarrow (E \otimes R^*, d) \tag{12}$$

with a Koszul differential δ given by $\delta(1 \otimes s_j^*) = \sum_{i\colon f(i)=j} e_i \otimes 1$, extending E-linearly and by the Leibniz rule on R^*. Now apply $\operatorname{Hom}_E(-, E)$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_E(E/\mathfrak{a}_f, E)$ is naturally identified with $\operatorname{ann} \mathfrak{a}_f = (a_f)$, this ideal has an injective resolution $E \otimes R^\cdot$ with differential given by multiplication by $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes s_{f(i)}$. The proof of isomorphism (10) concludes as in Theorem 2, using Proposition 3.1(7).

The isomorphism (11) is analogous: using the fact that $J_{K^\star} = \operatorname{ann} J_K = \operatorname{Hom}_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, \mathbf{k})$, we see that the complex $(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R, \delta)$ of Proposition 3.1(8) actually computes $\operatorname{Ext}_E(J_{K^\star}, (a_f))$. □

Example 1 (continued)**.** Here, the coordinate map f is an isomorphism, so $\mathfrak{a}_f = E^{\geq 1}$, and $\operatorname{ann} \mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbf{k}(-n)$, the socle of E. Thus Theorem 3 reduces to Theorem 2 (with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$). If K is the clique complex of a graph Γ, then Theorem 2 says

$$H_q(G_\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbb{Z}\langle K\rangle, \mathbb{Z})_{q,\mathbf{1}}$$

for all $q \geq 0$, as modules over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$. In general, the homology of the universal abelian cover of the torus complex is encoded in the minimal resolution of a monomial ideal over an exterior algebra.

Example 2 (continued)**.** In the case of the Bestvina-Brady group, the kernel of the map $\widetilde{f} : S \to \mathbf{k}[s_1]$ is generated by $\{t_i - t_j \colon 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Then the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is principal, generated by $a = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, and $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{ann}(\mathfrak{a})$. So

$$H_q(H_K, \mathbf{k}) = \operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, (a))_{q,\mathbf{1}}$$

4. Applications

A main result from the work of [2, 13] is that the Bestvina-Brady group H_K is FP_n iff the flag complex K is n-acyclic, yet H_K is finitely presented iff K is simply connected. Then any acyclic, noncontractible flag complex K gives rise to a group which is not finitely presented, yet has finite-dimensional (co)homology. By regarding the homology groups as modules over the group algebra of the abelianization, we can measure (in terms of Krull dimension) how far they are from having finite rank.

4.1. **Dimension calculations.** Returning to Example 1, recall Proposition 2.1 of [1] provides a description of the bigraded Betti numbers of (9): for $A = E$ or $A = S$, let $\beta^A_{pq}(M) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Tor}^A_{pq}(M, \mathbf{k})$, for an A-module M, and let $P_M(t,u) = \sum_{p,q} \beta^A_{pq}(M) t^p u^q$. Let $I = I_K$ denote the squarefree monomial ideal of K in S. Then

$$P_{E/J}(t,u) = \sum_{p,q} \beta^S_{pq}(S/I) \frac{t^p u^q}{(1-t)^{p+q}},$$

and

$$\beta^S_{pq}(S/I) = \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{I}\subseteq[n]:\\ |\mathcal{I}|=p+q}} \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{H}^{q-1}(K_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{k}), \tag{13}$$

by Hochster's formula.

Then Theorem 2 has the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *For $q > 0$, the Krull dimension of $H_q(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}), \mathbf{k})$ as a $\mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$-module is equal to the size of the largest set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [n]$ for which $\widetilde{H}^{q-1}(K_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{k}) \neq 0$. (If there is no such set, then $H_q(\mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbf{k}) = 0$.)*

Proof. It follows from [1, Theorem 4.2, Corollary 3.8] that the dimension of $\operatorname{Ext}_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, \mathbf{k})_q$ is $p + q$, where p is the largest integer for which $\beta^S_{pq}(S/I) \neq 0$. Now use formula (13). Since the submodule of a graded S-module annihilated by the ideal $\mathbf{1}$ is zero, localization preserves dimension, and our conclusion follows by Theorem 2. □

We may also make use of Alexander duality. In order to draw a parallel with the main result of [10], this result is stated in terms of group cohomology.

Corollary 4.2. *Let Γ be a graph not isomorphic to a complete graph, and K its clique complex. Then the dimension of the $\mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$-module $H^q(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^n])$ equals the largest integer r for which there exists a simplex $\sigma \in K$ with $n-r$ vertices satisfying $\widetilde{H}_{r-q-1}(\operatorname{link}_K(\sigma), \mathbf{k}) \neq 0$. (If there is no such simplex, $H^q(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^n]) = 0$.)*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{k}\langle K^\star\rangle = E/J_{K^\star}$, it follows from the long exact sequence for Ext_E and Theorem 3 that, for all $q > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^n]) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}_E(J_{K^\star}, \mathbf{k})_q \\ &\cong \widetilde{H}_{q-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{K^\star}(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^n]). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the Alexander dual formulation of Hochster's formula, for which we refer to [15]: if $\sigma \in K$ and $\mathcal{I} = [n] - \sigma$, then for all q,

$$\widetilde{H}^{q-2}(K^\star_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{k}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{|\mathcal{I}|-q-1}(\mathrm{link}_K(\sigma), \mathbf{k}).$$

Then formula (13) becomes

$$\beta^S_{p,q-1}(S/I_{K^\star}) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in K:\\ |\sigma|=n-(p+q-1)}} \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{H}_{p-2}(\mathrm{link}_K(\sigma), \mathbf{k}),$$

from which the result follows as in Corollary 4.1, by letting $r = p + q - 1$. □

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is the abelianization of G_Γ. The Corollary shows (by comparing with [10]) that $H^\cdot(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[G_\Gamma])$ and $H^\cdot(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[G^{ab}_\Gamma])$ depend on Γ in the same way.

4.2. **The rank variety of $\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle$.** Recall that if M is a graded E-module and $a \in E^1$, then M may be regarded as a chain complex with differential given by multiplication by a. Aramova, Avramov, and Herzog [1] define an element $a \in E^1$ to be M-singular if the cohomology of (M, a) is nonzero, and let $V(M)$ be the variety of all M-singular elements. Say $a \in E^1$ is M-regular if $a \notin V(M)$.

If $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$, let $\mathrm{supp}(a) = \{i\colon \alpha_i \neq 0\}$, and consider the cohomology of $\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle = E/J$, regarded as a chain complex with differential given by multiplication by the element a. We recall the following Proposition 4.3 of [1] (with indexing corrected). (See also [18, Theorem 5.5].)

Proposition 4.3. *The cohomology of $(E/J, a)$ depends only on* $\mathrm{supp}(a)$. *Let* $\mathcal{I} = \mathrm{supp}(a)$. *Then*

$$H^q(E/J, a) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma\in K\colon \sigma\cap\mathcal{I}=\emptyset} \widetilde{H}^{q-|\sigma|-1}(\mathrm{link}_{K_{\mathcal{I}}}\sigma, \mathbf{k}),$$

where by definition $\mathrm{link}_{K_{\mathcal{I}}}\sigma = \{\tau \in K_{\mathcal{I}}\colon \tau \cup \sigma \in K\}$.

In particular, this characterizes the E/J-singular elements.

A sequence of elements $a_1, \dots, a_r \in E^1$ is called M-regular if a_1 is M-regular and a_i is $M/(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})$-regular for each i, $2 \le i \le r$.

Proposition 4.4. *For any coordinate map $f\colon [n] \to [m]$, $H_*(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ is finite-dimensional (over $\mathbf{k}$) if and only if the elements $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ defined in Lemma 3.2(2) form a $\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle$-regular sequence.*

Proof. If $m = 1$, $h_1 = a = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$. Then the ideal (a) has an injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow (a) \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{a} E \xrightarrow{a} \cdots$$

so $\mathrm{Ext}_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, (a))$ is the cohomology of the infinite complex

$$E/J \xrightarrow{a} E/J \xrightarrow{a} \cdots$$

It follows $\mathrm{Ext}^0_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, (a)) = E/(J + (a))$, and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, E/(a))_q \cong H^q(E/J, a)$$

for all $p \ge 1$. In particular, $\mathrm{Ext}_E(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle, (a))$ is finite-dimensional iff a is E/J-regular.

The general result follows from induction on m, using the Künneth formula. □

Example 2 (continued)**.** For the Bestvina-Brady group (where $f\colon [n] \to [1]$), the only summand in Proposition 4.3 is indexed by the empty simplex, so $H^q(E/J, a) = \widetilde{H}^{q-1}(K, \mathbf{k})$. By the argument above, $H^q(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}])$ is finite-dimensional if and only if $\widetilde{H}^{q-1}(K, \mathbf{k}) = 0$, in which case $H^q(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}]) \cong E/(J + (a))^q$. This recovers the additive part of a result due to Leary and Saadetoğlu [12].

5. Duality

We single out the case of Cohen-Macaulay complexes K for their particularly nice properties. First, recall the Theorem of Eagon and Reiner in [7]. For this, let I_K denote the defining ideal of the Stanley-Reisner ring of a complex K, and write $\mathbf{k}[K] = S/I_K$.

Theorem 4 ([7])**.** *The ideal $I_{K^\star}$ has a linear, free resolution over S if and only if the complex K is Cohen-Macaulay.*

If K is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex of dimension d, consider the Cartan complex: this is the complex $(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle \otimes S, \omega)$, whose differential is given by multiplication by the element $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes u_i$. Let $F_K = H^{d+1}(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle^{\cdot} \otimes S, \omega)$.

The next Lemma is a basic consequence of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand or Koszul duality for the module F_K. We refer to [8, 9, 19] for background.

Lemma 5.1. *The following are equivalent.*

1. *K is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d.*
2. *$H^p(\mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle \otimes S, \omega) = 0$ for $p \neq d+1$.*
3. *$\operatorname{Tor}^S(F_K, \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}\langle K\rangle^*(n-d+1) \cong J_{K^\star}(n-d+1)$ as graded E-modules.*

An interesting special case is that of K a homology sphere (Gorenstein* complex). From [7], it follows that:

Lemma 5.2. *$F_K \cong I_{K^\star}$ as S-modules.*

Then BGG duality give the following reformulation of Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. *For any Cohen-Macaulay complex K of dimension d and coordinate map $f\colon [n] \to [m]$, there is an isomorphism of S-modules for $q \geq 0$:*

$$H_q(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_S^{d+1-q}(F_K, R).$$

Dually,

$$H_c^q(\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1), \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong \operatorname{Tor}^S_{d+1-q}(F_K, R). \tag{14}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1(2), the Cartan complex is a free resolution of F_K over S. By applying $\operatorname{Hom}_S(-, R)$, we obtain the cellular chain complex for $\mathcal{Z}_K(S^1) \times_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{Z}^m$ of Proposition 3.1(7), and the result follows.

The dual statement is proven in the same way. □

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose K is the clique complex of a graph Γ and K is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d. For any coordinate map $f\colon [n] \to [m]$, we have*

$$H^q(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \leq m - n + d \text{ and } q > d + 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{pd}_S R = n - m$, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(F_K, R) = 0$ for $i > n - m$. Now apply (14). □

Example 1 (continued)**.** Suppose K is the clique complex of Γ, and K is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d. Then the cohomology with compact support of the universal abelian cover is concentrated in dimension $d + 1$; more precisely, as modules over $\mathbf{k}[G_\Gamma^{ab}]$,

$$H^q(G_\Gamma, \mathbf{k}[G_\Gamma^{ab}]) \cong \begin{cases} (F_K)_{\mathbf{1}} & \text{For } q = d + 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It should be noted, however, that the vanishing of cohomology here and in the Corollary above also follow from the paper of Brady and Meier [3]: they establish the more general result that G_Γ is a duality group if and only if K is a Cohen-Macaulay complex.

Example 2 (continued)**.** Under the same hypotheses, the homology of the Bestvina-Brady group is given for $q \geq 0$ by

$$H_q(H_K, \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^{d+1-q}(I_{K^\star}, \mathbf{k}[s]).$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank MSRI for its hospitality and support during this project.

References

1. Annetta Aramova, Luchezar L. Avramov, and Jürgen Herzog, *Resolutions of monomial ideals and cohomology over exterior algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 2, 579–594. MR MR1603874 (2000c:13021)
2. Mladen Bestvina and Noel Brady, *Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), no. 3, 445–470. MR MR1465330 (98i:20039)
3. Noel Brady and John Meier, *Connectivity at infinity for right angled Artin groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 1, 117–132. MR MR1675166 (2001b:20068)
4. Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR MR1897064 (2003e:57039)
5. Ruth Charney and Michael W. Davis, *Finite $K(\pi, 1)$s for Artin groups*, Prospects in topology (Princeton, NJ, 1994), Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 138, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 110–124. MR MR1368655 (97a:57001)
6. Graham Denham and Alexandru Suciu, *Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals, and Massey products*, to appear.
7. John A. Eagon and Victor Reiner, *Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **130** (1998), no. 3, 265–275. MR MR1633767 (99h:13017)
8. David Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 229, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005, A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. MR MR2103875 (2005h:13021)
9. David Eisenbud, Gunnar Fløystad, and Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 11, 4397–4426 (electronic). MR MR1990756 (2004f:14031)
10. C. Jensen and J. Meier, *The cohomology of right-angled Artin groups with group ring coefficients*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), no. 5, 711–718. MR MR2164833 (2006m:20054)
11. K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, *Homology of certain algebras defined by graphs*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **17** (1980), no. 2, 179–186. MR MR567067 (82e:05114b)
12. Ian Leary and Muge Saadetoğlu, *The cohomology of Bestvina-Brady groups*, preprint.

13. John Meier, Holger Meinert, and Leonard VanWyk, *Higher generation subgroup sets and the* Σ*-invariants of graph groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), no. 1, 22–44. MR MR1610579 (99f:57002)
14. ———, *On the* Σ*-invariants of Artin groups*, Topology Appl. **110** (2001), no. 1, 71–81, Geometric topology and geometric group theory (Milwaukee, WI, 1997). MR MR1804699 (2001j:20058)
15. Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR MR2110098 (2006d:13001)
16. Stefan Papadima, personal communication.
17. Stefan Papadima and Alexander I. Suciu, *Algebraic invariants for Bestvina-Brady groups*, math.GR/0603240.
18. ———, *Algebraic invariants for right-angled Artin groups*, Math. Ann. **334** (2006), no. 3, 533–555. MR MR2207874 (2006k:20078)
19. Tim Römer, *Generalized Alexander duality and applications*, Osaka J. Math. **38** (2001), no. 2, 469–485. MR MR1833633 (2002c:13029)
20. Gunnar Sjödin, *A set of generators for* $\mathrm{Ext}_R(k,k)$, Math. Scand. **38** (1976), no. 2, 199–210. MR MR0422248 (54 #10239)
21. John Stallings, *A finitely presented group whose 3-dimensional integral homology is not finitely generated*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 541–543. MR MR0158917 (28 #2139)
22. Neil Strickland, *Notes on toric spaces*, available at `http://www.shef.ac.uk/personal/n/nps/papers/`, 1999.

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5B7
URL: `http://www.math.uwo.ca/~gdenham`